DOCUMENT RESUME

02477 - [1872904]

Review of American Indian Policy Review Commission. GGD-77-62; B-114868. June 29, 1977. 6 pp. + 7 enclosures (14 pp.).

Report to Sen. James Abourezk, Chairman, American Indian Policy Review Commission; by Elmer B. Staats, Comptroller General.

Issue Area: Accounting and Financial Reporting (2800). Contact: General Government Div.

Budget Function: General Government: Legislative Functions (801).

Congressional Relevance: Congress: American Indian Policy Review.

Authority: P.L. 93-580. P.L. 95-5.

The audit of the American Indian Policy Review Coumission disclosed that the Commission's management and operations were generally conducted orderly and professionally, although in some cases inappropriate contracting procedures were followed and some questionable disbursements were made. Findings/Conclusions: In accordance with existing legislation, the Commission approved a six-member Commission staff and established 1: task forces to gather facts and other necessary information for the comprehensive investigation and study of Indian affairs. At its business meetings, the Commission acted on policy matters are reviewed the scope, plan of operations, and progress of the various investigative task forces. Jach of the task forces submitted the required quarterly reports and final reports and recommendations to the Commission in a reasonably timely manner. The Commission prepared a tentative final report and a final report to the Congress in a timely manner. The Commission developed a budgeting, accounting, and financ. 1 reporting system to control its financial operations. Budgets were developed for each task force and the Commission staff. In three cases the Commission did not obtain the required approval for contracts or modification before proceeding with work. Questionable disbursements by the Commission involved double payments for air travel, payments for consultants! services, and travel expenses not required for official purposes. (SC)

02477 July CENT



REPORT OF THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

Review Of American Indian Policy Review Commission

United States Congess



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

B-114868

The Honorable James Abourezk, Chairman American Indian Policy Review Commission Congress of the United States

Dear Mr. Abourezk:

This report presents the results of our audit which was made in response to your August 5, 1976, letter requesting us to review the organization, operation, management, accounting, and control of the American Indian Policy Review Commission. Our audit was directed toward ascertaining whether the Commission carried out its activities in accordance to the provisions of its authorizing legislation and whether these activities were properly managed and controlled.

BACKGROUND

The Commission was established on January 2, 1975, by Public Law 93-580, to conduct a comprehensive review of Indian affairs. The law specified (1) the Commission's organizational structures, (2) areas of Indian affairs to be investigated, and (3) reporting requirements. The legislation authorized \$2.5 million for the Commission's activities and provided that the Commission would expire not later than June 30, 1977. Public Law 95-5, approved February 17, 1977, authorized an additional \$100,000 for Commission activities.

ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Commission structure

The authorizing legislation prescribed an organizational structure for the Commission; six members of the Commission were appointed from the Congress—three each from the Senate and the House of Representatives—who selected five Indians to serve on the Commission. The Indians included three from Indian tribes recognized by the Government, one from a group not recognized by the Government, and one representing urban Indians. (See app. I.)

Commission staff

In accordance with the law, the Commission approved a six-member Commission staff (core staff), which included a director, a general counsel, a professional staff member, and three clerical assistants. (See app. II.)

Task forces

The law required the Commission to establish task forces to gather facts and other necessary information for the comprehensive investigation and study of Indian affairs. The Commission established ll task forces to investigate the following subjects.

- Trust responsibility and Federal-Indian relationship.
- 2. Tribal government.
- 3. Federal administration and structure of Indian affairs.
- 4. Federal, State, and tribal jurisdiction.
- 5. Indian education.
- 6. Indian health.
- 7. Reservation and resource development and protection.
- 8. Urban and rural nonreservation Indians.
- 9. Indian law revision, consolidation, and codification.
- 10. Terminated and nonfederally recognized tribes.
- 11. Alcohol and drug abuse.

At its business meetings, the Commission acted on policy matters and reviewed the scope, plan of operations, and progress of the various investigative task forces.

The law prescribed that each task force be composed of three persons, a majority of whom should be of Indian descent. The 33 original task force members appointed by the Commission consisted of 31 persons of Indian descent, representing 27 different tribes, and 2 non-Indians. (See app. III.)

Support staff

Public Law 93-580 authorized the Commission to hire, in addition to the six statutory positions, staff to provide administrative and clerical support to the task forces. Public Law 93-580, as amended in August 1975, authorized the Commission to (1) accept voluntary contributions of services, (2) utilize the services, information, facilities, and personnel of the Government's executive departments and agencies with or without reimbursement, and (3) procure the services of consultants, experts, or organizations on a temporary or intermittent basis.

The Commission began operating in March 1975 when the Director and General Counsel were hired; the other four statutory staff positions were filled in April and June 1975. Between June 1975 and December 31, 1976, the Commission employed 140 different persons to provide administrative and clerical support to the task forces; this support ranged from 5 persons in June 1975 to 76 in June 1976. In addition, through December 31, 1976, the Commission hired approximately 100 consultants on an intermittent basis.

The Commission also benefited from volunteers, donated services and funds, and services of individuals furnished by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on a non-reimbursable basis. (See apps. VI and VII.) In addition, the Committee on House Administration provided free office space, furnishings, equipment, and utilities to the Commission.

Reporting requirements

Public Law 93-580 specified that each task force provide quarterly progress reports to the Commission. In addition, within I year from the appointment of task force members, each task force was to submit to the Commission at final report of investigations and studies and its recommendations. The law, as amended, also required the Commission to submit its final report and recommendations to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives within 9 months after receiving the task force reports. The law made the director responsible for coordinating the independent efforts of the task forces to insure that the data in the final report and the Commission's recommendations correlated. In accordance with the time extension provided by Public Law 95-5, the Commission was to submit its final report to the Congress by May 18, 1977.

Each of the task forces submitted the required quarterly reports and its final report and recommendations to the Commission in a reasonably timely manner.

The Commission prepared a tentative final report and distributed 1,000 copies to tribes, Indian interest organizations, Federal and State agencies, Members of Congress, and others for review and comment. After considering the review comments the Commission prepared and submitted its final report in May 1977 to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives.

FINANCIAL OPERATIONS. ACCOUNTING AND CONTROL

Public Law 93-580 authorized \$2.5 million for the Commission's operations; it also provided that the Commission pay salaries and expenses from the 'enate contingency fund pending the appropriation of funds. Payments from the contingency fund were to be charged against the authorization. Through December 31, 1976, appropriations to the Commission totaled \$2,448,878, and the Commission reported expenditures from the Senate contingency fund of \$51,084.32. This accounts for all but \$37.68 of the initial authorization. (See app. IV.)

In addition to providing the Commission with an extension of time for submitting its final report to the Congress, Public Law 95-5 authorized an additional \$100,000 for Commission expenses.

The Commission developed a detailed budgeting, accounting, and financial reporting system to control its financial operations. Budgets were developed for each task force and the Commission staff. Financial statements showing the status of task force and Commission activities were prepared monthly. In general, the aggregate expenses for the task forces and the core staff as of December 31, 1976, compared favorably with the established budgets. (See app. V.)

MANAGEMENT GENERALLY SATISFACTORY

Cur examination disclosed that the Commission's management and operations were generally conducted orderly and professionally. In some cases, however, inappropriate contracting procedures were followed and some questionable disbursements were made.

Inappropriate contracting procedures

In three cases the Commission did not obtain the required approval for contracts or modification from the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration before proceeding with work. The Commission staff explained to us that the counsel for the Committee on Rules and Administration had advised them that they could proceed pending formal approval by the Committee.

In one instance, we noted that the terms of a contract were modified by a task force chairwoman to reduce the scope of work without the prior or subsequent approval of the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration. This resulted in a dispute between the Commission staff and the contractor over the amount to be paid for his services. In two other instances, we noted that the Committee had not approved proposed contracts until after the contractors began working. Although there had been no problems concerning these two contracts, the failure to obtain the required prior approval could have had an adverse effect on the Commission's activities.

Questionable disbursements to be reviewed by staff

Some payments made by the Commission, involving relatively minor amounts, were questionable including (1) double payments for air travel, (2) payments for services of parttime consultants, task force consultants, and members without proper supporting documentation, and (3) payments for travel costs of a task force specialist which were not required for official purposes.

For example, our test of payments made for Commission expenses showed that a travel agency was paid \$213.46 for an airline ticket for a trip between Pendleton, Oregon, and Denver, Colorado, on August 3-6, 1976. The traveler was also reimbursed \$213.11 on his voucher for an airline ticket covering transportation costs between the same cities on the same dates. Also, our test showed that a task force member was paid \$384.21 for 4-days work in September 1975. The supporting time reports show only 3 days worked.

We discussed the questionable disbursements with the Commission staff who agreed to reexamine these types of disbursements and to pursue recovery action where circumstances warranted.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

We made our examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and included a review of the organization, operation, management, accounting, and control of the Commission; a review of books and records and selected transactions; and such other auditing procedures as we considered appropriate.

OPINION ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We prepared the accompanying financial statements (schs. 1, 2, and 3) from the Commission's accounting records. These statements did not include the cost of certain benefits and services—such as space, utilities, and various administrative services—that were furnished to the Commission without charge.

In our opinion, schedules 1, 2, and 3, which were prepared in accordance with the financial arrangements described above, present fairly the financial position of the American Indian Policy Review Commission at December 31, 1976, and the costs incurred through that date.

We are sending copies of this report to the Vice Chairman and the other congressional members of the American Indian Policy Review Commission.

Sinactally yours

Comptroller General of the United States

SCHEDULES

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

BALANCE SHEET

DECEMBER: 31; 1976

ASSETS

Petty cash: In bank On hand Outstanding advance Unreimbursed expenditure	\$ 1.6]8.19 25.05 2,415.00	
receipts	441.76	\$ 4,500.00
Unexpended appropriations Unappropriated authorization	·	151,326.31 37.68
Total		\$155,863:99

LIABILITIES AND UNAPPLIED AUTHORIZATION

LIABILITIES: Petty cash advance from the Senate Accounts payable		\$ 4,500.00 55;506:46
Total		\$ 60,006.46
UNAPPLIED AUTHORIZATION: Available appropriations Authorization not funded	\$95,819.85 37.68	95,857:53
Total liabilities and unapplied authorization		\$155,863.99

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

ý.

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATED FUND EXPENSES

JULY 1, 1975, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976

	expenses Total		5,788.57 \$ 88,327.30				20.587.61 126.244.30			4.011.64 LILL 155 08			72,450			230.50			1 757 03	60.26141	83 455 40	04.004.085 84.077	0.440		415.663.65	176.001.82			104,645.63 104,645.63	269, 416, 31 1, 162, 131, 11		\$340,675.21 \$2,353,499.91
(e x d		\$	2,	· •	-	20.	7	-	. 4	•	· ·	'n		**	a/ > /1, 436.50						h/ 164,770,68		•					104,	269,	İ	\$340,
Consultants/specialists	Travel		\$ 5,911.92	15,890.09	28.749.89	20.892.26	7.312.73	B. 924. 43	28,346,46	9.179.76	5.074.42	10.694.32	13,162.34		. 01. 4319	70.00174676										66,949,14				66,949.14		\$221,087.76
Consultants,	Compensation		\$ 30,572.9							24,535,49	43,152,36	36,268.01	21,776.79		27 226 56	03.00/1/45										129,052,68				109,052.68		\$526,819.54
Members	Travel		\$ 11,859.12	16,565.83	23,563.90	23,679.12	17,344.15	22,985.97	15,198.39	27,318.99	6,355.87	20,858.14	13, 431.78		26 121 0013	4777777			1,752.83		29,537,61	27,564.78	•							58,855.22		\$258,016.48
Мел	Compensation	•	5 34,194.78	32,322.57	29,188.24	74,061.69	12,619.77	:1,463.52	13, 331.26	45,410.05	34, 392.15	50,292.87	16,766.26		21 40 041 2		٠				53,917.88	188,276.23			415,663.65				-	657,857,76		\$1,006,900.92
	Classification	Task forces:	 1	~	•	•	2	•	7	œ	•	10	11	Total for tack	forces		Core unit:	Congressional	commissioners	Indian commis-	stoners	Staff	Support to	task forces	and Commission	Consultants	BIA (note c)	management	study contract	fotal for core		Total

b/Includes expenses for communications, office supplies, printing and reproductions, hearings, and contributions. a/Includes expenses for hearings and contracted studies.

c/Bureau of Indian Affairs.

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF SENATE CONTINGENT FUND EXPENDITURE

MARCH 5, 1975, THROUGH JUNE 30; 1975

Compensation:	•	
Indian commissioners	\$ 4,795.35	
Staff	27,370.78	
Support to Commission	696.25	
Consultants	<u> 5,293:5</u> 9	
·		\$38,155.97
Travel:		
Indian commissioners	4,299.30	•
Staff	354.08	
Consultants	3;159:63	
Other evanses		7,813,01
Other expenses		5;115.34
Total		\$51,084.32
		+31,004.32

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION STATEMENT OF FUNDS PROVIDED AND APPLIED MARCH 5, 1975, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976

Funds provided:	
Senate Contingent Fund	\$ 51,084.32
Appropriations	2,448,878.00
Petty cash advance from the Senate	4,500.00
Total	\$ <u>2;504;462:32</u>
Punda amplica.	
Funds applied: Commission expenses	
Senate Contingent Fund (see sch. 3)	6 53 004 00
Appropriations (see sch. 2)	\$ 51,084.32
appropriations (see scn. 2)	2;353;499:91
	2,404,584.23
Cash available for expenditure	4,058.24
Available appropriations	95,819:85
Total	\$2;504;462:32

APPENDIXES

6

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION MEMBERS

CONGRESSIONAL

Senate:

The Honorable James Abourezk, Chairman

The Honorable Lee Metcalf

The Honorable Mark O. Hatfield

House of Representatives:

The Honorable Lloyd Meeds, Vice Chairman

The Honorable Sidney R. Yates

The Honorable Sam Steiger (note a)

The Honorable Don Young (note b)

INDIAN

Tribes recognized by the

Federal Government:

Ada Deer--Menominee

Jake Whitecrow--Quapaw-Seneca-Cayuga

John Borbridge--Tlingit-Haida

Tribe not recognized by

the Federal Government:

Dr. Adolph L. Dial--Lumbee

Urban Indians:

Louis R. Bruce--Mohawk-Sioux

a/Through 94th Congress.

b/Appointed in February 1977.

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

BASIC STAFF

Director:

Ernest L. Stevens--Oneida

General Counsel:

K. Kirke Kickingbird--Kiowa (note a)

Professional staff member:

Max I. Richtman

Clerical assistants:

Ernestine E. Ducheneaux--Salish and Kootenai Rosemarie Cornelius--Sioux-Oneida (note b) Winona G. Jamieson--Seneca Carole Roop (note c)

a/Sevices terminated in November 1976.

b/Services terminated in October 1976.

c/Appointed in October 1976.

APPENDIX III APPENDIX III

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

TASK FORCE STUDY AREAS AND MEMBERS

Task forces:

l--Trust responsibility and Federal-Indian
 relationship

Hank Adams--Assiniboine-Sioux John Echohawk--Pawnee Douglas Nash--Nez Perce

2--Tribal government

Wilbur Atcitty--Navajo Alan Parker--Chippewa-Cree Jerry Flute--Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux

3--Federal administration and the structure of Indian affairs

Sam Deloria--Standing Rock Sioux Mel Tonasket--Colville Ray Goetting--Caddo

4--Federal, State, and tribal jurisdiction

Sherwin Broadhead
Judge William Roy Rhodes--Pima
Matthew Calac--Rincon

5--Indian education

Helen Schierbeck--Lumbee Abe Plummer--Navajo Earl Barlow-- Blackfeet (note a) Lorraine Misiaszek--Colville (note b)

6--Indian health

Dr. Everett R. Rhoades--Kiowa Luana Reyes--Colville Lillian McGarvey--Aleut

a/Resigned in January 1976.

b/Appointed in February 1976.

Task forces:

7--Reservation and resource development and protection

Peter MacDonald--Navajo Ken Smith--Warm Springs Philip Martin--Mississippi Choctaw

8--Urban and rural nonreservation Indians

Al Elgin--Pomo
Gail Thorpe--Sac and Fox
Edward Mouss--Creek-Cherokee

9--Indian law revision, consolidation, and codification

Peter Taylor Yvonne Knight--Ponca Browning Pipestem--Otoe-Missoura, Osage

10--Terminated and nonfederally recognized tribes

Jo Jo Hunt--Lumbee John Stevens--Passamaquoddy Robert Bojorcas--Klamath

11--Alcohol and drug abuse

Reuben Snake--Winnebago-Sioux Robert Morre--Seneca (note a) George Hawkins--Southern Cheyenne

a/Resigned in January 1976.

APPENDIX IV APPENDIX IV

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

AUTHORIZATION AND APPROPRIATIONS

DECEMBER 31; 1976

Total amount authorized (Public Law 93-580) \$2,500,000.00

Amount paid from Senate Contingent Fund 51,084.32 Fiscal year 1976 appriations (Public Law 94-59) 1,500,000.00 Transition period appriation (Public Law 94-59) .a/300,000.00 Fiscal year 1976 supplemental (Public Law 94-157) 385,168.00 Transition period supplemental (Public Law 94-157) a/710.00Fiscal year 1977 appropriation (Public Law 94-440) b/263,000.00 2,499,962.32 c/5 Unappropriated authorization 37.68

a/The transition period was July 1, 1976, through Sept. 30,
1976.

b/Public Law 94-440 provided that not to exceed \$100,000 of the funds appropriated for the Commission for fiscal year 1976 and the transition period shall remain available until June 30, 1977.

c/Public Law 95-5 provided the Commission with an additional \$100,000 authorization.

45

AMERICAN INDIAN FOLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

COMPARISON OF BUDGETS AND EXPENSES

FOR TASK FORCES AND CORE STAFF

MARCH 5, 1975, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976

Classifi- cation Task forces:	Budget	Expenses Marca 5, 1975, through December 31, 1976	Percent of budget Balance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$ 91,208 111,442 143,025 111,733 124,642 80,100 110,673 109,875 99,333 120,535	\$ 88,327.30 110,964.31 146,475.86 115,451.39 126,244.30 95,516.58 108,648.25 111,355.98 89,748.78 126,185.21	96.8 \$ 2,880.70 99.6 477.69 102.4 (3,450.86) 103.3 (3,718.39) 101.3 (1,602.30) 119.2 (15,416.58) 98.2 2,024.75 101.3 (1,480.98) 90.4 9,584.22
ll Task force total (note a)	73,325	72,450.84	104.7 98.8 (5,650.21) 874.16
Core staff (note b) Total appro- priated funds	1,265,187 2,441,078	1,162,131.11 2,353,499.91	91.9 103,055.89
Total core staff (note b) contingent fund	75,385	51,084.32	96.4 87,578.09 67.8 <u>24,300.68</u>
Total	\$2,516,463	\$2,404,584.23	95.6 \$ <u>111,878.77</u>

a/Includes expenses of task force members, specialists, researchers, and consultants only.

<u>b</u>/Includes expenses of Commission members, general consultants, core staff members, and assistance to task forces.

do.

Jan. 1976 to July 1976

do.

Stephen LaBoueff

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION

EXAMPLES OF VOLUNTARY AND NONREIMBURSABLE

PERSONAL SERVICES

Voluntary	Commission . position or title	Period	Employer
Arnold T. Anderson	Special Assistant to	Sept. 1975	Union Carbide Corpora-
	Commission	to present	tion
Roger Buffalohead	Commission Consultant	Feb. 1976 to	Indian Studies Center,
1		June 1976	University of Washington
Thomas Fassett	Communications Director	Sept. 1975 to	· · ·
		Feb. 1976	Xerox Corporation
Alton Hunt	Task Force Consultant	July 1976 to	,
		Aug. 1976	Noneretired
Robert Leatherman	do.	Mar. 1976 to	Department of Housing
		June 1976	and Urban Development
W. Joseph Potts	do.	Apr. 1976 to	•
•		June 1976	Searle Laboratories
Dr. Sam Stanley	do.	Feb. 1976 to	
1		Apr. 1976	Smithsonian Institution
ni Trimble	Commission Consultant	Feb. 1975 to	
		May 1976	Galala Sioux Tribe
Mary Young	do.	Feb. 1976 to	Department of History,
		Sept. 1976	Rochester State Uni-
		1	versity (New York)
		£	
Nonrelmbursable			
Al Cayous	Task Force Specialist	Oct. 1975 to July 1976	Indian Health Service, Department of Health,
	(n	10101 101	Education, and Welfare

APPENDIX VII

AMERICAN INDIAN POLICY REVIEW COMMISSION EXAMPLES OF PRINTING AND OTHER DONATIONS

Printed material	Number of copies each	Furnished without cost to Commission by:
Task force reports no. 1		Government Printing
through nc. 11	1,000	Office
Bureau of Indian Affairs Management Study Special Joint Task Report	1,000	âo.
on Alaskan Native Issues	1,000	do.
Interim Task Force Report	5,000	Union Carbide Cor- poration
Summary Task Force Reports	5,000	do.
Nations Within a Nation	5,000	đo.
	Estimated	
Other donations	value	Donors
"Act Now"narrative film describing Commission Funding for questionnaires	\$50,000	Union Carbide Cor- poration
and interviews - Task force no. 2	50,000	Donner Foundation